

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/614,904	Applicant(s) JONES ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(3) _____.

 (2) Carl B. Massey, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None


Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed replacing the trademark Sepharose with its definition in the claims. Carl B. Massey, Jr. then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)